

Sociable Autonomous Ridesharing

Transportation and Neighborhoods	1
The Shape of the Problem	1
A Sociable Scenario.....	2
Summary.....	5
Endnotes.....	6
Works Cited	9

Transportation and Neighborhoods

Our urban life suffers not just from too many cars clogging streets, but from the way they have scattered our lives. If we let autonomous technology tell us what to do, we may live even more distracted, disconnected lives.ⁱ Healthy cities, instead, have a constellation of livable centers linked by corridors.ⁱⁱ Often, the centers are on the site of earlier settlements which became crossroads. At their best, these crossroads are social hubs where people are likely to run into each other. Autonomous vehicles give us the opportunity to re-focus our lives on our local hubs.

The emerging consensus is that autonomous vehicles should serve the last mile to transit and popular destinations. In one recent study, 76% of the cities that responded wanted them for last-mile transit.ⁱⁱⁱ However, this kind of service is not a given. Even some of the most city-friendly visions, like NACTO's *Blueprint for Autonomous Urbanism*, emphasize heavy transit lines with stops that are too close together for efficiency and couldn't all support compelling uses like grocery stores and popular restaurants. (See e.g. p. 57)^{iv} Instead, we can build upon the polycentric city's social hubs. We should combine these elements:

1. Social hubs at the transportation hubs in cities' centers,
2. Autonomous shuttles (auto-jitneys) to gather people into these hubs, and
3. Responsive express buses and trains traveling directly between these centers.

We should coordinate these with software – both for efficiency and for social comfort. The pieces are already falling into place. Auto-jitneys are already in use.^v Smart planners are using transportation to support public life.^{vi} Mobility services already coordinate rides across modes, including transit.^{vii} We need to combine those transportation elements with our renewed love of dynamic, welcoming, multifunctional social hubs,^{viii,ix}

The Shape of the Problem

Auto-taxis as the Default

If we don't push for auto-jitneys that provide "last-mile" service, we will probably wind up with congestion from taxi-like service. There are three main scenarios for exploiting autonomy in passenger vehicles:

- A. Conventional private passenger vehicles that drive autonomously (auto-autos);
- B. Taxis that drive autonomously (auto-taxis); and
- C. Cabin-like autonomous jitneys in which people share the ride (auto-jitneys).

Private auto-autos “want” to become auto-taxis. Mercedes’s approach typifies the private auto-auto. It is a conventional luxury car with front seats that swivel around to make a conversation area.^x Yet, private auto-autos may become a luxury. Once a company makes a vehicle autonomous, customers will want to offer rides to friends, family, or even strangers: effectively, making them auto-taxis. Several companies are taking this eventuality seriously. Tesla’s sales contract already prohibits its customers from hiring their cars out.^{xi}

Auto-taxis could increase traffic drastically.^{xii} Experience with ride-sharing services like Uber suggests that the problem could get worse.^{xiii} Uber and Lyft are already trying but struggling to bring people the “first mile” to transit.^{xiv} Our streets that are already clogged with people driving alone would be swelled with riders who cannot drive now – and with robotic vehicles looking for fares. One of the founders of Zipcar, Robin Chase, calls this a “hell” scenario.^{xv}

Instead, we can use auto-jitneys to shuttle us through the quiet streets surrounding social hubs. The European test program CityMobil2 and the more recent, smarter versions can mix with slow traffic and pedestrians. These shuttles don’t need to follow fixed routes. Riders can summon them.^{xvi}

Hubs, Spokes, and Geometry

It is not a given that last-mile service will serve compelling urban hubs. There are competing models, including a centralized hub-and-spoke model, a web-like model, and our present sprawl model.^{xvii} In the hub-and-spoke model, hubs were central to peoples’ lives. The sandwich shop, the square, the newsstand, and so on were familiar places – and people often ran into people they knew. Transportation hubs were *social hubs*, but they were too centralized on downtown. Jarrett Walker has shown how a grid or web of transit routes, rather than a pure hub-and-spoke system can get people where they need to go efficiently.^{xviii, xix} His model is compatible with using polynodal hubs to help reduce the amount of centralized commuting. That way, people can balance jobs and housing better.

Trust and Friendliness

Will people want to travel in ones, twos, and threes in auto-jitneys? One meta-study shows that people find riding with strangers stressful – particularly in crowds.^{xx} Transit riders do things like placing bags on seats and stretching out (even “manspreading”) to maintain a personal buffer space. Another study suggested that prospective riders might balk at riding with strangers.^{xxi} Potential riders may choose road congestion over riding awkwardly with people they don’t know.^{xxii} Thus, the difference between Robin Chase’s *heaven* and *hell* may be social. We need vehicles that help us maintain personal space and we need a system in which we will be more accountable to each other.

A Sociable Scenario

It’s 8:00 in the morning on a Saturday and Sally wants to have coffee and visit a street market. She opens an app on her phone. She floats that scenario with her friends as she eats breakfast. Her friend Jane suggests coffee at 10:00. Another friend, Ann, wants to visit the street market at 10:30. Sally proposes the itineraries be reconciled and merged: the social app arranges their travel through a mobility service to meet for coffee at 9:30 and the market at 10:30.

Her mobility app sends her an itinerary, Sally boards an auto-jitney at her house. It has its neighborhood's livery: tree leaves and local landmarks. Inside, she sees Bob whom she knows slightly from her daily commute. The seats are arranged so they aren't forced into each other's space or line of sight – but they chat anyway. Before she left, Sally's social app had told the mobility app her preferences. In this case, she was comfortable sharing with people she knew. If she were to ride with strangers, she would have the auto-jitney pick her up at the corner, so they wouldn't know her street address.

The auto-jitney drives at a leisurely 15 miles per hour through secure shortcuts between leafy cul-de-sacs toward the coffee house. All the traffic off the main roads is limited to 20 miles per hour. Bob orders coffee to go through his phone so that it will be waiting for him at the counter. Sally and Bob walk into the coffee house, which shares a busy mixed-use street-corner with a supermarket, plenty of shops, offices, apartments, a plaza, and a library. Sally sits and chats with her friends.

At 10:20, it's time for Sally and her friends to catch the bus to the street market. As they stand up, a couple unlock their bicycles outside, and walk toward the bus. The bus pulls into the intersection and stops at 10:22. All the traffic stops, and riders converge from all four corners. Avatars displayed at the bus's doors direct the flock of riders going to the market to the same part of the bus. There's space set aside for the bicycles. The bus stops at a couple more social hubs and heads for the market.

At noon, it's time for Sally to leave the market for her home. An app counts down the minutes and then the seconds until the bus arrives. Sally says goodbye, gets on the bus, and spots her neighbor Alice inside.

Back at the crossroads, another auto-jitney pulls up to the left side of the bus, and docks with a back door. Alice gets in. Sally follows her, swinging her shopping bag awkwardly onto a seat. A light above the door flashes on briefly. They ride to their homes.

Three Interlocking Parts

Neighborhood Social Hubs. The most important part is to center our community lives on livable, walkable places. These should be not just neighborhood gathering spots, but places with plenty to do, workplaces, and civic functions: the kind of urban center that is known by name.

Sally's auto-jitney dropped her off at a crossroads where she could use her time well. She balanced convenience, friendships, and time by going through a social hub with its own compelling destinations. Each social hub would be more than a retail destination: it would include workplaces and denser housing – all of it in a jobs-housing balance with its catchment area.

A social hub would be an existing hub of activity, or it might be a little downtown of the sort that trendy mini-cities try to emulate.^{xxiii} It might be a historic downtown, an older shopping street, or an ethnically focused neighborhood – e.g. “Little Havana” or “Chinatown.” It might also be retrofitted into a crossroads near a freeway.

Each social hub would be part of a network of places that anchor community life: a network of many centers. It would have a plaza, or perhaps a public wintergarden at its heart: a real public realm designed for public life and public functions. Its community would be sufficiently large to

have its own festivals, schools, institutions, and daily destinations like grocery stores. It would add workplaces, apartments, local institutions to the kind of retail that is equivalent to a *neighborhood center* or *community center*. Each would serve about 15-30,000 people.^{xxiv,xxv} Each social hub would also be about 1-3 miles from the next one. By focusing all the transit service into social hubs' centers, transit agencies would help to knit the urban fabric together with the social fabric. Riders would run into each other more frequently because they would all be crossing in the same vicinity.

Traffic on main routes stretching between social hubs might be as fast as ever. Yet, speeds would be only 20 miles per hour or so within the hub itself and on side streets.^{xxvi} Most curb space would be available for pick-up and drop-off by auto-autos, auto-taxis, auto-jitneys and buses. Buses would stop in the roadway so validated riders could enter them from all sides.^{xxvii} Train stations, if any, would be directly up or down stairs and elevators. Residents and businesses could take deliveries at neighborhood parcel lockers like Swipbox's.^{xxviii} All sorts of daily routines would take residents and businesspeople through social hubs.

Life could also be a little quieter in the 20-mile-per-hour neighborhood streets. Where residents of sprawl (and their homeowners' associations) agree, convenient paths for pedestrians, bicycles, and slow, quiet auto-jitneys could be cut between the cul-de-sacs. The area around each social hub would blend together with the next so that people living in-between them would have a choice.

Responsive Buses and Trains. We can use express transit to connect our neighborhoods together at their centers.

Sally's bus to the market ran at express speeds. Her bus was scheduled when enough people wanted to go to the market. She used a Mobility-as-a-Service (MaaS) app that could coordinate auto-jitneys, public transportation, taxis, and even bicycling.^{xxix}

The transit system would travel efficiently between hubs.^{xxx} It could take the form of bus-rapid-transit, or the other traffic might simply have to give way. Since the system could "know" where people are going, buses might bypass each other – connecting hubs even if they are not on a straight line. Everything would respond to demand, rather than use pre-set schedules and uniformly large vehicles. The transit system would dispatch buses in whichever way would be most efficient, but riders would know their travel time in advance.^{xxxi,xxxii} This efficiency, plus the carrying capacity of transit, would ease congestion.^{xxxiii} When transfers would be inevitable (on cross-town trips, for instance), software would let riders know what to expect. One bus might dock directly to the next. The riders going to each destination would be directed to the same part of the vehicle to avoid jostling, and to make sure that there is seating. Such a service would become more efficient over time, using software like that used for military logistics.^{xxxiv}

Local Auto-jitneys. We can use auto-jitneys to collect citizens into neighborhoods.

Sally's auto-jitney was more *hers* because it was owned and maintained locally. It had a local look, and it prioritized friendly acquaintances and friends above strangers. Each auto-jitney would be a wheeled segment of the public realm.

Such a service is already feasible, as today's vehicles can cope with slow-speed traffic.^{xxxv} Auto-jitneys should be local: owned by a local nonprofit, cooperative, benefit corporation, or special improvement district. Rides should be kept inexpensive and free for transit riders. If the

neighborhood itself owns the auto-jitneys, residents and workers could call them to ride to all their neighborhood's adjacent social hubs.

Most discussion of transportation focuses on the minority of repetitive, time-sensitive commuting trips. The other 3/4ths of our trips^{xxxvi} tend to be more flexible – defined by their purpose: “night on the town,” say. We could use software to define scenarios like “night on the town,” “buying groceries,” or “study group at the library.” Riders may prefer to ride only with certain types of people. Some women might only want to ride with other women or with people they already know, for instance.^{xxxvii} Social apps and mobility services could organize trips according to riders' desired anonymity or sociability. Some researchers have found that catering to reasonable preferences about fellow riders may not lengthen trips too much.^{xxxviii}

Auto-jitneys would have to be designed for psychological comfort. They could signal their intentions to pedestrians and cyclists with intuitive signals.^{xxxix} Inside, cameras with a behavior recognition system would also watch for odd behaviors and even involuntary signs of distress. When Sally swung her bag awkwardly, the on-board behavior-recognition system displayed a light over the door and sent video to security personnel, who made sure she was safe.^{xl}

Summary

Three interlocking parts would work together:

- Each social hub would not be just a retail destination; it would serve the daily needs of a populous, balanced community: it would be designed to build community.
- Riders would make place-to-place trips using buses and trains. People going to the same places would travel together, so they would often enjoy chance meetings.
- Local auto-jitneys would make local trips. Each auto-jitney would be an extension of its neighborhood.

Each of these parts makes the others easier to implement. They could all start at a small scale and build up together. The key is a vision of the city as a constellation of livable walkable urban centers interlinked with transit and supported by auto-jitneys. This vision places people over technology. It uses technical means like auto-jitneys and express transit to help people make favorite places in their neighborhoods.

Endnotes

- ⁱ Vlad Savov, "Audi's 25th Hour Project Makes Time the Ultimate Driving Luxury," *The Verge*, July 10, 2017, <https://www.theverge.com/2017/7/10/15947784/audi-25th-hour-autonomous-car-driving-work-time>.
- ⁱⁱ Duncan Alexander Smith, "Polycentric Cities and Sustainable Development" (UCL Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis, 2009), http://www.casa.ucl.ac.uk/duncan/duncan_smith_poster.pdf.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Michael J. Coren and Michael J. Coren, "The Natural Habitat for Self-Driving Cars Is the City. Here Are All the Ones Piloting Them.," *Quartz* (blog), accessed November 15, 2017, <https://qz.com/1109833/the-natural-habitat-for-self-driving-cars-is-the-city-here-are-all-the-ones-piloting-them/>.
- ^{iv} National Association of City Transportation Officials, "Blueprint for Autonomous Urbanism: Designing Cities Edition" (New York, Fall 2017), <https://nacto.org/publication/bau/blueprint-for-autonomous-urbanism/>.
- ^v Burney Simpson, "Europe's CityMobil2 Tests Driverless Public Transit," *Driverless Transportation*, February 5, 2015, <http://www.driverlesstransportation.com/europe-citymobil2-driverless-public-transit-2getthere-robosoft-easymile-8164>.
- ^{vi} Project for Public Spaces, "Placemaking in Transit," *Project for Public Spaces* (blog), January 1, 2009, https://www.pps.org/blog/placemaking_in_transit/.
- ^{vii} MaaS Global, "MaaS Global – Mobility as a Service," accessed November 6, 2016, <http://maas.global/>.
- ^{viii} Robert Steuteville, "Great idea: Mixed-use urban centers," Text, CNU, April 27, 2017, <https://www.cnu.org/publicsquare/2017/04/27/great-idea-mixed-use-urban-centers>.
- ^{ix} Robert Steuteville, "'Walkable urban' dominates US commercial development," Text, CNU, June 15, 2016, <https://www.cnu.org/publicsquare/%E2%80%98walkable-urban%E2%80%99-dominates-us-commercial-development>.
- ^x "The Mercedes-Benz F 015 Luxury in Motion.," *mercedes-benz.com*, January 6, 2015, <https://www.mercedes-benz.com/en/mercedes-benz/innovation/research-vehicle-f-015-luxury-in-motion/>.
- ^{xi} Graham King, "Fully Self-Driving Teslas Not Allowed on Rideshare Services Uber and Lyft," *Motor1.com*, October 21, 2017, <https://www.motor1.com/news/118655/teslas-not-allowed-rideshare-services/>.
- ^{xii} OECD/ITF, "Urban Mobility System Upgrade: How Shared Self-Driving Cars Could Change City Traffic," *International Transport Forum Policy Papers*, no. 6 (March 1, 2015), <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5jlwvzdk29g5-en>.
- ^{xiii} Winnie Hu and Emma G. Fitzsimmons, "The Downside of Ride-Hailing: More New York City Gridlock - The New York Times," *The New York Times*, March 6, 2017, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/06/nyregion/uber-ride-hailing-new-york-transportation.html>.
- ^{xiv} Lyft, "Friends With Transit," Lyft, n.d., <https://take.lyft.com/friendswithtransit/>.
- ^{xv} Robin Chase, *The Future of Autonomous Vehicles*, 2016, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DeUE4kHRpEk>.
- ^{xvi} Bill Hethcock, "Driverless Shuttles, Data-Sharing Traffic Signals Hit North Texas Cities," *Dallas Business Journal*, May 16, 2017, <https://www.bizjournals.com/dallas/news/2017/05/16/driverless-shuttles-talking-traffic-signals-hit.html>.
- ^{xvii} E.J. Taaffe, H.L. Gauthier, and M.E. O'Kelly, *Geography of Transportation*, Prentice-Hall Foundations of Economic Geography Series (Prentice Hall, 1996), <https://books.google.com/books?id=N60qf7WynaEC>.
- ^{xviii} Jarrett Walker, "Houston: Transit, Reimagined," *Human Transit* (blog), May 9, 2014, <http://humantransit.org/2014/05/houston-a-transit-network-reimagined.html>.

-
- ^{xix} Jarrett Walker, “Bus Rapid Transit Stop Spacing: Is 2 Miles Too Far?,” *Human Transit* (blog), November 29, 2009, <http://humantransit.org/2009/11/bus-rapid-transit-stop-spacing-is-2-miles-too-far.html>.
- ^{xx} Jared Austin Peter Kay Thomas, “The Social Environment of Public Transport” (Victoria University of Wellington, 2009), <http://hdl.handle.net/10063/1095>.
- ^{xxi} Natasha Merat, Ruth Madigan, and Sina Nordhoff, “Human Factors, User Requirements, and User Acceptance of Ride-Sharing in Automated Vehicles,” in *International Transport Forum Roundtable on Cooperative Mobility Systems and Automated Driving*, 2016, 6–7, <https://www.itf-oecd.org/file/16355/download?token=prs69hwQ>.
- ^{xxii} S Milgram, “The Familiar Stranger: An Aspect of Urban Anonymity. The Individual in a Social World,” *Reading*, 1977.
- ^{xxiii} Jonathan O’Connell, “In the Washington Suburbs, the March of the Mini Cities,” *Washington Post*, August 12, 2017, sec. Digger, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/digger/wp/2015/08/12/in-the-washington-suburbs-the-march-of-the-mini-cities/>.
- ^{xxiv} International Council of Shopping Centers, “Shopping Center Definitions | ICSC: International Council of Shopping Centers,” accessed July 20, 2017, <https://www.icsc.org/research/references/c-shopping-center-definitions>.
- ^{xxv} Seth Harry & Associates, Inc., “Retail: Sustainable Commerce SmartCode Module,” 2010, http://www.sethharry.com/Documents/M-038-Retail_SustCommerce_Harry_6-8-10_SPREADS.pdf.
- ^{xxvi} 20’s Plenty for Us, “20’s Plenty for Us,” 20’s Plenty for Us, accessed October 24, 2016, <http://www.20splenty.org/>.
- ^{xxvii} Marcus Gee, “When the Streetcar Stops, You Need to Stop Too,” January 7, 2017, sec. column, <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/toronto/when-the-streetcar-stops-you-need-to-stop-too/article33532864/>.
- ^{xxviii} SwipBox International A/S, “SwipBox,” SwipBox, 2017, <http://www.swipbox.com/>.^{xxix} MaaS Global, “MaaS Global – Mobility as a Service.”
- ^{xxx} Mitja Stiglic et al., “The Benefits of Meeting Points in Ride-Sharing Systems,” *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 82 (2015): 36–53. See also Ulrich Matchi Aïvodji et al., “Meeting Points in Ridesharing: A Privacy-Preserving Approach,” *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 72 (2016): 239–253.
- ^{xxxi} Yossi Aloni, “Dynamic Scheduling for the Autonomous Public Transportation Era” (Optibus, March 24, 2016), <http://www.optibus.co/nl/new-white-paper-dynamic-scheduling-autonomous-public-transportation-era/>.
- ^{xxxii} Daniel J Fagnant and Kara M Kockelman, “Dynamic Ride-Sharing and Fleet Sizing for a System of Shared Autonomous Vehicles in Austin, Texas,” *Transportation*, 2016, 1–16.
- ^{xxxiii} Jarrett Walker, “Self-Driving Cars: A Coming Congestion Disaster?,” *Human Transit* (blog), November 25, 2015, <http://humantransit.org/2015/11/self-driving-cars-a-coming-congestion-disaster.html>.
- ^{xxxiv} Todd Carrico, “Cognitive Planning Takes Logistics Optimization to New Heights... | Cognitive World,” May 2, 2017, <http://cognitiveworld.com/article/cognitive-planning-takes-logistics-optimization-new-heights>.
- ^{xxxv} EasyMile SAS, “SOHJOA Project (Finland),” *EasyMile* (blog), 2016, <http://easymile.com/portfolio/sohjoa-project-finland/>.
- ^{xxxvi} Steven E. Polzin et al., “The Role of Commuting in Overall Travel,” *Commuting in America 2013: The National Report on Commuting Patterns and Trends* (Washington DC: American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, May 2013).
- ^{xxxvii} Prateek Bansal, Kara M. Kockelman, and Amit Singh, “Assessing Public Opinions of and Interest in New Vehicle Technologies: An Austin Perspective,” 2015. Note, the published version of this paper omits mention of Facebook. See Prateek Bansal, Kara M Kockelman, and Amit Singh, “Assessing Public Opinions of and Interest in New Vehicle Technologies: An Austin Perspective,” *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 67 (2016): 1–14.

^{xxxviii} Hongmou Zhang and Jinhua Zhao, "The Tradeoff Between Efficiency and Fellow Passenger Preference: A Preference-Based Ridesharing Model," 2017.

^{xxxix} Stamp Siripanich, "Crossing the Road in the World of Autonomous Cars," *TEAGUE Labs* (blog), August 9, 2017, <https://medium.com/teague-labs/crossing-the-road-in-the-world-of-autonomous-cars-e14827bfa301>.

^{xl} University of Westminster, *Behaviour Recognition of Human Activities*, 2013, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JXTpQAnhel4>.

Works Cited

- 20's Plenty for Us. "20's Plenty for Us." 20's Plenty for Us. Accessed October 24, 2016. <http://www.20splenty.org/>.
- Aïvodji, Ulrich Matchi, Sébastien Gambs, Marie-José Huguet, and Marc-Olivier Killijian. "Meeting Points in Ridesharing: A Privacy-Preserving Approach." *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 72 (2016): 239–253.
- Aloni, Yossi. "Dynamic Scheduling for the Autonomous Public Transportation Era." Optibus, March 24, 2016. <http://www.optibus.co/nl/new-white-paper-dynamic-scheduling-autonomous-public-transportation-era/>.
- Bansal, Prateek, Kara M. Kockelman, and Amit Singh. "Assessing Public Opinions of and Interest in New Vehicle Technologies: An Austin Perspective," 2015.
- Bansal, Prateek, Kara M Kockelman, and Amit Singh. "Assessing Public Opinions of and Interest in New Vehicle Technologies: An Austin Perspective." *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 67 (2016): 1–14.
- Carrico, Todd. "Cognitive Planning Takes Logistics Optimization to New Heights... | Cognitive World," May 2, 2017. <http://cognitiveworld.com/article/cognitive-planning-takes-logistics-optimization-new-heights>.
- Chase, Robin. *The Future of Autonomous Vehicles*, 2016. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DeUE4kHRpEk>.
- Coren, Michael J., and Michael J. Coren. "The Natural Habitat for Self-Driving Cars Is the City. Here Are All the Ones Piloting Them." *Quartz* (blog). Accessed November 15, 2017. <https://qz.com/1109833/the-natural-habitat-for-self-driving-cars-is-the-city-here-are-all-the-ones-piloting-them/>.
- EasyMile SAS. "SOHJOA Project (Finland)." *EasyMile* (blog), 2016. <http://easymile.com/portfolio/sohjoa-project-finland/>.
- Fagnant, Daniel J, and Kara M Kockelman. "Dynamic Ride-Sharing and Fleet Sizing for a System of Shared Autonomous Vehicles in Austin, Texas." *Transportation*, 2016, 1–16.
- Gee, Marcus. "When the Streetcar Stops, You Need to Stop Too," January 7, 2017, sec. column. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/toronto/when-the-streetcar-stops-you-need-to-stop-too/article33532864/>.
- Hethcock, Bill. "Driverless Shuttles, Data-Sharing Traffic Signals Hit North Texas Cities." *Dallas Business Journal*, May 16, 2017. <https://www.bizjournals.com/dallas/news/2017/05/16/driverless-shuttles-talking-traffic-signals-hit.html>.
- Hu, Winnie, and Emma G. Fitzsimmons. "The Downside of Ride-Hailing: More New York City Gridlock - The New York Times." *The New York Times*, March 6, 2017. <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/06/nyregion/uber-ride-hailing-new-york-transportation.html>.
- International Council of Shopping Centers. "Shopping Center Definitions | ICSC: International Council of Shopping Centers." Accessed July 20, 2017. <https://www.icsc.org/research/references/c-shopping-center-definitions>.
- King, Graham. "Fully Self-Driving Teslas Not Allowed on Rideshare Services Uber and Lyft." *Motor1.com*, October 21, 2017. <https://www.motor1.com/news/118655/teslas-not-allowed-rideshare-services/>.
- Lyft. "Friends With Transit." Lyft, n.d. <https://take.lyft.com/friendswithtransit/>.
- MaaS Global. "MaaS Global – Mobility as a Service." Accessed November 6, 2016. <http://maas.global/>.
- Merat, Natasha, Ruth Madigan, and Sina Nordhoff. "Human Factors, User Requirements, and User Acceptance of Ride-Sharing in Automated Vehicles." In *International Transport*

- Forum Roundtable on Cooperative Mobility Systems and Automated Driving*, 6–7, 2016. <https://www.itf-oecd.org/file/16355/download?token=prs69hwQ>.
- Milgram, S. “The Familiar Stranger: An Aspect of Urban Anonymity. The Individual in a Social World.” *Reading*, 1977.
- National Association of City Transportation Officials. “Blueprint for Autonomous Urbanism: Designing Cities Edition.” New York, Fall 2017. <https://nacto.org/publication/bau/blueprint-for-autonomous-urbanism/>.
- O’Connell, Jonathan. “In the Washington Suburbs, the March of the Mini Cities.” *Washington Post*, August 12, 2017, sec. Digger. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/digger/wp/2015/08/12/in-the-washington-suburbs-the-march-of-the-mini-cities/>.
- OECD/ITF. “Urban Mobility System Upgrade: How Shared Self-Driving Cars Could Change City Traffic,” International Transport Forum Policy Papers, no. 6 (March 1, 2015). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5jlvwzdk29g5-en>.
- Savov, Vlad. “Audi’s 25th Hour Project Makes Time the Ultimate Driving Luxury.” *The Verge*, July 10, 2017. <https://www.theverge.com/2017/7/10/15947784/audi-25th-hour-autonomous-car-driving-work-time>.
- Seth Harry & Associates, Inc. “Retail: Sustainable Commerce SmartCode Module,” 2010. http://www.sethharry.com/Documents/M-038-Retail_SustCommerce_Harry_6-8-10_SPREADS.pdf.
- Simpson, Burney. “Europe’s CityMobil2 Tests Driverless Public Transit.” *Driverless Transportation*, February 5, 2015. <http://www.driverlesstransportation.com/europe-citymobil2-driverless-public-transit-2getthere-robosoft-easymile-8164>.
- Siripanich, Stamp. “Crossing the Road in the World of Autonomous Cars.” *TEAGUE Labs* (blog), August 9, 2017. <https://medium.com/teague-labs/crossing-the-road-in-the-world-of-autonomous-cars-e14827bfa301>.
- Smith, Duncan Alexander. “Polycentric Cities and Sustainable Development.” UCL Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis, 2009. http://www.casa.ucl.ac.uk/duncan/duncan_smith_poster.pdf.
- Spaces, Project for Public. “Placemaking in Transit.” *Project for Public Spaces* (blog), January 1, 2009. https://www.pps.org/blog/placemaking_in_transit/.
- Steuteville, Robert. “Great idea: Mixed-use urban centers.” *Text. CNU*, April 27, 2017. <https://www.cnu.org/publicsquare/2017/04/27/great-idea-mixed-use-urban-centers>.
- . “‘Walkable urban’ dominates US commercial development.” *Text. CNU*, June 15, 2016. <https://www.cnu.org/publicsquare/%E2%80%99walkable-urban%E2%80%99-dominates-us-commercial-development>.
- Steven E. Polzin, Alan E. Pisarski, Bruce Spear, Liang Long, Penelope Weinberger, Matt Hardy, and Janet Oakley. “The Role of Commuting in Overall Travel.” *Commuting in America 2013: The National Report on Commuting Patterns and Trends*: Washington DC: American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, May 2013.
- Stiglic, Mitja, Niels Agatz, Martin Savelsbergh, and Mirko Gradisar. “The Benefits of Meeting Points in Ride-Sharing Systems.” *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 82 (2015): 36–53.
- SwipBox International A/S. “SwipBox.” SwipBox, 2017. <http://www.swipbox.com/>.
- Taaffe, E.J., H.L. Gauthier, and M.E. O’Kelly. *Geography of Transportation*. Prentice-Hall Foundations of Economic Geography Series. Prentice Hall, 1996. <https://books.google.com/books?id=N60qf7WynaEC>.
- “The Mercedes-Benz F 015 Luxury in Motion.” *mercedes-benz.com*, January 6, 2015. <https://www.mercedes-benz.com/en/mercedes-benz/innovation/research-vehicle-f-015-luxury-in-motion/>.

- Thomas, Jared Austin Peter Kay. "The Social Environment of Public Transport." Awarded Doctoral Thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, 2009.
<http://hdl.handle.net/10063/1095>.
- University of Westminster. *Behaviour Recognition of Human Activities*, 2013.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JXTpQAnhel4>.
- Walker, Jarrett. "Bus Rapid Transit Stop Spacing: Is 2 Miles Too Far?" *Human Transit* (blog), November 29, 2009. <http://humantransit.org/2009/11/bus-rapid-transit-stop-spacing-is-2-miles-too-far.html>.
- . "Houston: Transit, Reimagined." *Human Transit* (blog), May 9, 2014.
<http://humantransit.org/2014/05/houston-a-transit-network-reimagined.html>.
- . "Self-Driving Cars: A Coming Congestion Disaster?" *Human Transit* (blog), November 25, 2015. <http://humantransit.org/2015/11/self-driving-cars-a-coming-congestion-disaster.html>.
- Zhang, Hongmou, and Jinhua Zhao. "The Tradeoff Between Efficiency and Fellow Passenger Preference: A Preference-Based Ridesharing Model," 2017.